PAPER

Generalized quantum tomographic maps

To cite this article: M Asorey et al 2012 Phys. Scr. 85 065001

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- <u>An introduction to the tomographic picture</u> of guantum mechanics A Ibort, V I Man'ko, G Marmo et al.
- <u>Generalized tomographic maps and starproduct formalism</u> M Asorey, P Facchi, V I Man'ko et al.
- <u>Star-product quantization and symplectic</u> tomography Olga V Manko

Recent citations

- <u>Quantum Tomography twenty years later</u> M Asorey *et al*
- <u>Generalized tomographic maps and star-</u> product formalism M Asorey *et al*

Generalized quantum tomographic maps

M Asorey¹, P Facchi^{2,3}, V I Man'ko⁴, G Marmo^{5,6}, S Pascazio^{3,7} and E C G Sudarshan⁸

¹ Departamento de Física Teórica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

² Dipartimento di Matematica and MECENAS, Università di Bari, I-70125 Bari, Italy

³ INFN, Sezione di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy

- ⁴ PN Lebedev Physical Institute, Leninskii Prospect 53, Moscow 119991, Russia
- ⁵ Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche and MECENAS, Università di Napoli 'Federico II',

I-80126 Napoli, Italy

⁶ INFN, Sezione di Napoli, I-80126 Napoli, Italy

- ⁷ Dipartimento di Fisica and MECENAS, Università di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy
- ⁸ Department of Physics, University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712, USA

E-mail: asorey@unizar.es, paolo.facchi@ba.infn.it, manko@na.infn.it, marmo@na.infn.it, saverio.pascazio@ba.infn.it and bhamathi@sbcglobal.net

Received 2 April 2012 Accepted for publication 5 April 2012 Published 8 May 2012 Online at stacks.iop.org/PhysScr/85/065001

Abstract

Some nonlinear generalizations of classical Radon tomography were introduced by Asorey *et al* (2008 *Phys. Rev.* A **77** 042115), where the straight lines of the standard Radon map are replaced by quadratic curves (ellipses, hyperbolas and circles) or quadratic surfaces (ellipsoids, hyperboloids and spheres). We consider here the quantum version of this novel nonlinear approach and obtain, by the systematic use of the Weyl map, a tomographic encoding approach to quantum states. Nonlinear quantum tomograms admit a simple formulation within the framework of the star-product quantization scheme and the reconstruction formulae of the density operators are explicitly given in a closed form, with an explicit construction of quantizers and dequantizers. The role of symmetry groups behind the generalizations of the standard singular dequantizers of the symplectic tomographic schemes, where the Dirac delta-distributions of operator-valued arguments are replaced by smooth window functions, giving rise to the new concept of *thick* quantum tomography. Applications in quantum state measurements of photons and matter waves are discussed.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Wj, 42.30.Wb, 02.30.Uu

1. Introduction

Quantum tomography has a long and interesting history. The first guess on a tomographic reconstruction of quantum states dates back to 1933, when Pauli asked whether it is possible to uniquely associate quantum states with probability distributions, as in classical statistical mechanics [1, 2]. Pauli's observation was, in fact, more subtle and articulate, as he wondered whether two given position and momentum probability distribution functions are mathematically and physically compatible and whether it is possible to unambiguously reconstruct the quantum state (the wave function) from their knowledge. The answer to Pauli's original question is negative [3, 4], but his general idea to associate quantum states with a set of probability distribution

functions eventually led to the basic formulation of quantum tomography.

The tomographic representation of quantum states is based on the Radon transform [5] of the Wigner quasiprobability distribution function [6]. The application to the reconstruction of quantum states was pioneered in the 1980s [7, 8] and led to the first experimental verifications in the 1990s [9–11]. Since then, the tomographic approach to the analysis of quantum states has become a booming and consolidated field of investigation [12, 13], leading to theoretical ideas and experimental proposals [14–22], thanks also to its important applications in quantum information [23, 24].

The original Radon transform [5] maps functions defined on a two-dimensional (2D) plane onto functions defined on a 2D cylinder. The key feature is that the transform is invertible. There exist several important generalizations of the Radon transform (see, e.g., [25–27]). More recent analyses have focused on symplectic transforms [28], on the deep relationship with classical systems and classical dynamics [29, 30], on the formalism of star-product quantization [31] and on the study of marginals along curves that are not straight lines [32].

The aim of this paper is to study generalizations of the Radon transform to multidimensional phase spaces and to frameworks based on marginals along curves or surfaces described by *quadratic* equations. We shall study applications to both classical and quantum systems. For classical systems we use the Radon transform of the probability densities in phase space of a classical particle and build the corresponding tomographic map into Radon components of the initial probability densities. In quantum systems, we introduce a pair of operators, the density operator and its *Radon transform operator*, which are related by an invertible map. In the Wigner function approach, these formulae provide an analogy to the Radon transform for classical probability distributions in phase space.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the symplectic tomographic approach to classical mechanics. In section 3, we provide a generalization of symplectic tomography that is based on marginals over ellipsoids and hyperboloids and their boundary surfaces, instead of planes and straight lines. The case of marginals based on circles and spheres with shifted centers provides another type of interesting generalization. We also consider, by using a star-product framework, the generalization of the Radon transform in operator form for quantum systems in section 4. Center-of-mass tomography [33], symplectic tomography and its generalization to several quantum systems are discussed in section 5 using symplectic group Hamiltonians. A generic formulation of tomographic approaches that makes use of Hamiltonians which are linear forms in generalized Lie algebras is briefly summarized in this section. In section 6, we consider thick symplectic quantum tomography and compare it with the thick Radon transform in section 7. Finally, some conclusions and perspectives are presented in section 8.

2. Symplectic quantum tomography

Let $\hat{\rho}$ be a quantum state ($\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}^{\dagger}, \hat{\rho} > 0$). The quantum Radon transform (homodyne tomogram) is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\rho}}(X,\varphi) = \operatorname{Tr} \hat{\rho}\delta(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{q}\cos\varphi - \hat{p}\sin\varphi), \qquad (1)$$

with $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$. In terms of the Wigner function

$$W(p,q) = \int \left\langle q - \frac{\xi}{2} \left| \hat{\rho} \right| q + \frac{\xi}{2} \right\rangle e^{ip\xi} d\xi,$$

$$\int W(p,q) dp dq = 2\pi,$$
 (2)

the above expression reads

$$\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\rho}}(X,\varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dp \, dq \, W(p,q) \\ \times \delta \left(X - q \cos \varphi - p \sin \varphi \right).$$
(3)

The quantum symplectic [34] (or M^2 [35]) transform is a generalization of the quantum Radon transform (1) and reads

$$\mathcal{W}_{\hat{\rho}}(X,\mu,\nu) = \langle \delta(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \mu\hat{q} - \nu\hat{p}) \rangle_{\rho}$$

= Tr $\hat{\rho}\delta(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \mu\hat{q} - \nu\hat{p}),$ (4)

where μ and ν are real parameters. The information content of the two formulations is identical and is expressed by the relation

$$\mathcal{W}_{\hat{\rho}}(X, r\cos\varphi, r\sin\varphi) = \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{R}_{\hat{\rho}}\left(\frac{X}{r}, \varphi\right), \qquad (5)$$

valid for any r > 0. Equation (5) is an easy consequence of the fact that the Dirac distribution is positive homogeneous of degree -1. The two formulations (1) and (4) may differ in practice (the latter being easier to invert [35]) and cease to be equivalent when the Dirac delta-function is replaced by a finite window function [36]. In this paper, we shall focus on the symplectic version (4).

Equation (4) can be rewritten as the 'classical' tomogram of the Wigner function

$$\mathcal{W}_{\hat{\rho}}(X,\mu,\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int W(p,q) \,\delta\left(X - \mu q - \nu p\right) \mathrm{d}p \,\mathrm{d}q,\tag{6}$$

whose inverse transform reads

$$W(p,q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mathcal{W}_{\hat{\rho}}(X,\mu,\nu) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X-\mu q-\nu p)} \,\mathrm{d}X \,\mathrm{d}\mu \,\mathrm{d}\nu,$$
(7)

or, in terms of the density matrix,

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mathcal{W}_{\hat{\rho}}(X,\mu,\nu) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X\hat{\mathbb{I}}-\mu\hat{q}-\nu\hat{p})} \,\mathrm{d}X \,\mathrm{d}\mu \,\mathrm{d}\nu. \tag{8}$$

For completeness and for future convenience, we note that the inversion formula of the Radon transform (1) is easily obtained from equations (5) and (8) and reads

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dX \int_0^\infty dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \, \mathcal{R}_{\hat{\rho}}(X, \varphi) \times r \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r (X \hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{q} \cos \varphi - \hat{p} \sin \varphi)}.$$
(9)

The generalization of (6) to the multimode case can be achieved in several ways. Let us consider the case of two modes for simplicity. One can introduce either the ordinary tomogram

$$\mathcal{W}_{\hat{\rho}}(\vec{X}, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) = \langle \delta(X_1 \hat{\mathbb{I}} - \mu_1 \hat{q}_1 - \nu_1 \hat{p}_1) \, \delta(X_2 \hat{\mathbb{I}} - \mu_2 \hat{q}_2 - \nu_2 \hat{p}_2) \rangle,$$
(10)

where $\vec{X} = (X_1, X_2)$, $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ and $\vec{\nu} = (\nu_1, \nu_2)$, or alternatively, the 'center-of-mass' tomogram

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm cm}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) = \langle \delta(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \mu_1\hat{q}_1 - \nu_1\hat{p}_1 - \mu_2\hat{q}_2 - \nu_2\hat{p}_2) \rangle.$$
(11)

The Weyl map (2) again provides the possibility of expressing (10) and (11) as 'classical' tomograms

$$\mathcal{W}_{\hat{\rho}}(\vec{X}, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d\vec{p} \, d\vec{q} \, W(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) \\ \times \delta \left(X_1 - \mu_1 q_1 - \nu_1 p_1 \right) \delta \left(X_2 - \mu_2 q_2 - \nu_2 p_2 \right),$$
(12)

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm cm}(X, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d\vec{p} \ d\vec{q} \ W(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) \\ \times \delta \left(X - \mu_1 q_1 - \nu_1 p_1 - \mu_2 q_2 - \nu_2 p_2 \right),$$
(13)

where $W(\vec{p}, \vec{q})$ is the Wigner function of the two-mode system, normalized to $(2\pi)^2$.

The inverse transform reads

$$W(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = \int \mathcal{W}_{\rm cm}(X, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X - \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{q} - \vec{\nu} \cdot \vec{p})} \frac{\mathrm{d}X \, \mathrm{d}\vec{\mu} \, \mathrm{d}\vec{\nu}}{(2\pi)^2} \quad (14)$$

for the center-of-mass tomogram and

$$W(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = \int \mathcal{W}_{\hat{\rho}}(\vec{X}, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X_1 + X_2 - \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{q} - \vec{\nu} \cdot \vec{p})} \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{X} \,\mathrm{d}\vec{\mu} \,\mathrm{d}\vec{\nu}}{(2\pi)^2}$$
(15)

for the ordinary symplectic tomogram. The inversion formulae for the density matrix are

$$\hat{\rho} = \int \mathcal{W}_{\hat{\rho}}(\vec{X}, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X_1 \hat{\mathbb{I}} + X_2 \hat{\mathbb{I}} - \vec{\mu} \cdot \hat{\vec{q}} - \vec{\nu} \cdot \hat{\vec{p}})} \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{X} \, \mathrm{d}\vec{\mu} \, \mathrm{d}\vec{\nu}}{(2\pi)^2}, \qquad (16)$$

for the symplectic case and

$$\hat{\rho} = \int \mathcal{W}_{\rm cm}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X\hat{\mathbb{1}}-\vec{\mu}\cdot\hat{q}-\vec{\nu}\cdot\hat{p})} \frac{\mathrm{d}X\,\mathrm{d}\vec{\mu}\,\mathrm{d}\vec{\nu}}{(2\pi)^2} \tag{17}$$

for the center-of-mass tomography. An advantage of the symplectic tomogram is that it permits splitting into subsystems for separable states, which is not possible in the center-of-mass tomogram. This property might be very relevant for the fast reconstruction of non-entangled states.

In the case of M subsystems with their own centers of mass, tomography is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm cm}(X_1, \vec{\mu}_1, \vec{\nu}_1, X_2, \vec{\mu}_2, \vec{\nu}_2, \dots, X_M, \vec{\mu}_M, \vec{\nu}_M) = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^M \delta(X_i \hat{\mathbb{I}} - \vec{\mu}_i \cdot \hat{\vec{q}}_i - \vec{\nu}_i \cdot \hat{\vec{p}}_i) \right\rangle,$$
(18)

where $\vec{\mu}_i = (\mu_{i1}, \mu_{i2}, \dots, \mu_{iN_i}), \quad \vec{\nu}_i = (\nu_{i1}, \nu_{i2}, \dots, \nu_{iN_i}),$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} N_i = N. \tag{19}$$

The inversion formula is given by

$$\hat{\rho} = \int \mathcal{W}_{cm}(X_1, \vec{\mu}_1, \vec{v}_1, X_2, \vec{\mu}_2, \vec{v}_2, \dots, X_M, \vec{\mu}_M, \vec{v}_M)$$
$$\times e^{i \sum_j (X_j \hat{\mathbb{I}} - \vec{\mu}_j \cdot \hat{q}_j - \vec{v}_j \cdot \hat{p}_j)} \frac{d\vec{X} d\vec{\mu} d\vec{v}}{(2\pi)^M}.$$

The symplectic tomogram can also be constructed in the case of multimodal systems.

3. Quadratic tomograms

One of the main objectives of this paper is to study generalizations of the quantum Radon transform to marginals

M Asorey et al

$$X = (\vec{x} - \vec{\alpha}) \cdot B(\vec{x} - \vec{\alpha}), \qquad (20)$$

where $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$ denotes the scalar product of vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} . The classical tomogram of a function $f(\vec{x})$ reads

obtained in [32]. Given an $M \times M$ symmetric operator B and

two *M*-dimensional vectors \vec{x} and $\vec{\alpha}$, let

$$\omega_f(X,\vec{\alpha}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(\vec{x}) \,\delta\left(X - (\vec{x} - \vec{\alpha}) \cdot B(\vec{x} - \vec{\alpha})\right) \,\mathrm{d}\vec{x}.$$
(21)

Observe that the δ -function is supported on the quadrics (ellipsoids, hyperboloids, etc, that can also have degeneracies) defined by equation (20). The inverse map is

$$f(\vec{x}) = \frac{|\det B|}{\pi^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m+1}} dX \, d\vec{\alpha} \, \omega_f(X, \vec{\alpha})$$
$$\times e^{i(X - (\vec{x} - \vec{\alpha}) \cdot B(\vec{x} - \vec{\alpha}))}.$$
(22)

The solution to the quantum problem is similar. With a slight abuse of terminology, we shall speak of multidimensional phase spaces and quadratic 'Hamiltonians' of the type

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\vec{Q}} \cdot B\hat{\vec{Q}} + \vec{C} \cdot \hat{\vec{Q}}, \qquad (23)$$

where

$$\hat{Q}_j = \hat{p}_j, \quad \hat{Q}_{N+j} = \hat{q}_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, N)$$
 (24)

are the momentum and position operators, and \vec{C} and \vec{B} denote a 2*N*-dimensional vector and a 2*N* × 2*N* symmetric matrix, which parameterize the different types of quadratic Hamiltonian. Observe that since we are working in a symplectic framework, $M \rightarrow 2N$.

The quantum counterpart of the classical tomogram (21) reads

$$\mathcal{W}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) = \langle \delta(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\mu}\vec{\nu}}) \rangle, \qquad (25)$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\mu}\vec{\nu}} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\vec{Q}} - \vec{r}) \cdot B(\hat{\vec{Q}} - \vec{r}) + \vec{C} \cdot (\hat{\vec{Q}} - \vec{r})$$
(26)

and $\vec{r} = (\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu})$ is a 2*N*-dimensional vector. The coordinate *X* plays the role of 'energy'. The Hamiltonian can be degenerate, depending on the type of eigenvalues of the $2N \times 2N$ symmetric matrix *B* [36]. The parameters $\vec{\mu}$ and $\vec{\nu}$ have the meaning of shift parameters of the centers of the operatorial quadratic curves (surfaces).

From the Hamiltonian (26), one can derive a quantum tomographic map similar to that obtained in the classical case, by using the Wigner function

$$\mathcal{W}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{p}\,\mathrm{d}\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^N} \delta\left(X - \mathbb{H}_{\vec{\mu}\vec{\nu}}\right) W(\vec{q},\vec{p}),\qquad(27)$$

where the Hamiltonian $\mathbb{H}_{\vec{\mu}\vec{v}}$ is given by (26) with the operators $\hat{\vec{q}}$ and $\hat{\vec{p}}$ replaced by *c*-numbers \vec{q} and \vec{p} . Since equation (27) is equivalent to equation (21), the reconstruction function

follows directly from equation (22) with the replacement and $B \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}B$, namely

$$\hat{\rho} = \int \mathcal{W}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X\hat{\mathbb{I}}-\hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\mu}\vec{\nu}})} \,|\!\det B| \,\frac{\mathrm{d}X\mathrm{d}\vec{\mu}\,\mathrm{d}\vec{\nu}}{(2\pi)^N}.$$
 (28)

The generalization to multipartite systems is straightforward. If we have M subsystems we can define the multipartite tomogram

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm cm}(\vec{X}, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) = \int W(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) \prod_{j=1}^{M} \delta(X_j \hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\mu}_j \vec{\nu}_j}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{p}_j \, \mathrm{d}\vec{q}_j}{(2\pi)^{N_j}},$$
$$\vec{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_M), \quad \vec{\mu} = (\vec{\mu}_j), \quad \vec{\nu} = (\vec{\nu}_j),$$
(29)

whose inverse transform is

$$\hat{\rho} = \int \mathcal{W}_{\rm cm}(\vec{X}, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) \prod_{j=1}^{M} e^{i(X_j \hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\mu}_j \vec{\nu}_j})} \\ \times |\det B_j| \frac{dX_j \, d\vec{\mu}_j \, d\vec{\nu}_j}{(2\pi)^{N_j}}.$$
(30)

4. Star-product framework

It is interesting to see how the transform with quadratic curves in phase space can be written in the form of a star-product quantization [31]. Any star-product scheme is aimed at constructing a bijective map of operators \hat{A} acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and the space of functions f_A defined on a manifold \mathcal{M} . The map can be constructed in terms of two operator-valued functions \hat{D} and \hat{U} defined on \mathcal{M} and called 'quantizers' and 'dequantizers', respectively. These two functions satisfy the identity

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{D}(x)\hat{U}(y)) = \delta(x - y) \tag{31}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$. The map is then defined by the formula

$$f_A(x) = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{A}\,\hat{U}(x)),\tag{32}$$

and the inverse map is defined by

$$\hat{A} = \int f_A(x)\hat{D}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{33}$$

The star product is defined on the space of functions f_A by

$$f_A \star f_B = f_{AB}.\tag{34}$$

The kernel of this associative star product is then given by

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{D}(x_1)\hat{D}(x_2)\hat{U}(x_3))$$
(35)

and satisfies

$$f_A \star f_B(x) = \int f_A(x_1) f_A(x_2) K(x_1, x_2, x) dx_1 dx_2.$$
(36)

The key observation is that the tomogram can be interpreted in terms of a dequantizer

$$\hat{U}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) = \delta(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\mu}\vec{\nu}})$$
(37)

and a quantizer

$$\hat{D}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) = \frac{|\det B|}{(2\pi)^N} e^{i(X\hat{1} - \hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\mu}\vec{\nu}})}.$$
(38)

Thus, for an arbitrary observable \hat{A} , one can introduce the quadratic tomogram

$$\mathcal{W}_A(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) = \operatorname{Tr} \hat{A} \,\delta(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\mu}\vec{\nu}}). \tag{39}$$

Also one can reconstruct the observable operator from its quadratic tomogram

$$\hat{A} = \int \mathcal{W}_A(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) \, \hat{D}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) \, \mathrm{d}X \, \mathrm{d}\vec{\mu} \, \mathrm{d}\vec{\nu}. \tag{40}$$

There also exist tomograms based on shifts of quadratic curves in phase space, but as in equation (4) for symplectic tomograms, we use instead the rescaled position and momentum operators.

One can combine both types of tomograms, derived by shifts and rescaling of variables, obtaining a new class of tomograms, which are the quantum version of the classical tomograms defined by [32]

$$\omega_f(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \delta\left(X - \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{q} - \nu(\vec{q},\vec{p})\right) f(\vec{q},\vec{p}) \,\mathrm{d}\vec{q} \,\mathrm{d}\vec{p},$$
(41)

where \vec{p} and \vec{q} are vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and

$$\nu(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_j q_j p_j.$$
(42)

This map corresponds to a deformation of the standard multidimensional Radon transform by means of the following diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \bigcup_{i} \{(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) : q_{i} = 0\}$:

$$(q_i, p_j) \mapsto (x_i, y_j) = (q_i, q_j p_j), \qquad (43)$$

whose Jacobian is

$$J(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) = \left| \frac{\partial(\vec{x}, \vec{y})}{\partial(\vec{q}, \vec{p})} \right| = \prod_{j=1}^{n} |q_j|.$$
(44)

The inverse map is given by

$$f(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \frac{dX \, d\vec{\mu} \, d\vec{\nu}}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \, \omega_f(X, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^n |q_j| \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X - \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{q} - \nu(\vec{q}, \vec{p}))}.$$
(45)

This corresponds to the higher-dimensional generalization of the Bertrand–Bertrand tomography [7].

The quantum extension is straightforward. One introduces the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\xi\nu}(\hat{\vec{q}},\,\hat{\vec{p}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_i \hat{q}_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nu_j (\hat{q}_j \,\hat{p}_j + \hat{p}_j \hat{q}_j). \quad (46)$$

The dequantizer operator

$$\hat{U}(X,\vec{\xi},\vec{\nu}) = \delta(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\xi}\vec{\nu}}(\vec{q},\vec{p}))$$
(47)

yields the quantum tomogram

$$\mathcal{W}(X,\vec{\xi},\vec{\nu}) = \operatorname{Tr}\hat{\rho}\,\delta(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\xi}\vec{\nu}}(\vec{q},\vec{p})),\tag{48}$$

and corresponds to the quantizer

$$\hat{D}(X,\vec{\xi},\vec{\nu}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} |\hat{q}_j| \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{\mathbb{H}}_{\vec{\xi}\vec{\nu}}(\hat{\vec{q}},\hat{\vec{p}}))}.$$
(49)

This permits us to recover the quantum state from its tomogram. The modulus of the operator is defined by the Weyl quantization of the symbol $|q_i|$.

5. Group Hamiltonians

The applications considered so far involve the position and momentum coordinates. In the linear and quadratic cases, the Hamiltonians from which we constructed the quantizers and dequantizers can be written in the form

$$\hat{H}_{g_{\alpha}} = \sum_{\alpha} (g_{0})_{\alpha} \hat{L}_{\alpha}, \qquad (50)$$

where \hat{L}_{α} are generators of Lie groups. In the examples considered in this paper, they belong to representations of the inhomogeneous Lie group ISp $(2N, \mathbb{R})$ and its subgroups. The group ISp $(2N, \mathbb{R})$ is defined as the group of affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^{2N} \equiv T^* \mathbb{R}^N$ which preserve its natural symplectic form. It is the semi-direct product of the translation group and the linear symplectic group. The reason for this choice is because this group acts on the Heisenberg–Weyl group as a group of automorphisms. Within this framework, the delta-function is expressed as

$$\delta\left(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \sum_{\alpha} (g_{0})_{\alpha}\hat{L}_{\alpha}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \mathrm{d}t \;\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \sum_{\alpha} (g_{0})_{\alpha}\hat{L}_{\alpha})} \quad (51)$$

and

$$(g(t)) = e^{-it(\sum_{\alpha} (g_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \hat{L}_{\alpha})}$$
(52)

is the operator representation of the Lie group.

Û

Thus, the tomogram can be rewritten in a form that only depends on group element parameters $(g_0)_{\alpha}$ and the Radon variable *X*:

$$\mathcal{W}(X, (g_0)_{\alpha}) = \left\langle \delta \left(X \hat{\mathbb{I}} - \sum_{\alpha} (g_0)_{\alpha} \hat{L}_{\alpha} \right) \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Tr} \int dt \, \hat{\rho} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tX} \, \hat{U}(g(t))$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dt \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tX} \, \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \, \hat{U}(g(t))). \tag{53}$$

Therefore, the tomogram is related to the orbit of the group and the Fourier integral of the trace of the orbit in the group representation. This group-theoretical representation permits the extension to more general cases [37].

6. Thick quantum tomography

We now turn our attention to the *thick* tomographic map [36], which is a more realistic approach for practical applications, because instead of marginals defined over lines, as in the classical Radon transform [5], or quadrics as in the quadratic generalized Radon transform [30, 32], it involves a *thick* window function Ξ . This is convoluted with the tomographic map and concentrates the marginals around some given background curves (that can be lines or quadrics), without resorting to a singular delta function. For example, if the weight function Ξ is a step function, it defines marginals along thick lines or thick quadratic curves. In the quantum case, this amounts to replacing in the definition of the dequantizer $\hat{U}(x)$ the Dirac delta-function by the weight function Ξ ,

$$\hat{U}(X, (g^0)_{\alpha}) = \Xi\left(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \sum_{\alpha} (g_0)_{\alpha}\hat{L}_{\alpha}\right).$$
(54)

For the symplectic quantum tomography, one has the dequantizer

$$\hat{U}(X,\mu,\nu) = \Xi(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \mu\hat{q} - \nu\hat{p}).$$
(55)

The new tomogram reads

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Xi}(X,\mu,\nu) = \operatorname{Tr} \hat{\rho} \ \Xi (X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \mu \hat{q} - \nu \hat{p}).$$
(56)

Using the Weyl map one obtains a tomogram for the Wigner function

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Xi}(X,\mu,\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int W(p,q) \Xi \left(X - \mu q - \nu p\right) \, \mathrm{d}p \, \mathrm{d}q.$$
(57)

An interesting property of the above formula (57) is that it can be inverted in complete analogy with the classical thick tomography introduced in [36]. The thick tomogram can be expressed in terms of standard symplectic tomograms via a convolution formula

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Xi}(X,\,\mu,\,\nu) = \int \mathcal{W}(Y,\,\mu,\,\nu) \,\Xi \,(X-Y) \,\,\mathrm{d}Y, \qquad (58)$$

which leads to the explicit construction of the inverse transform. Indeed, the inverse transform is obtained by means of a Fourier transform of the convolution integral

$$W(p,q) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{\Xi}}{2\pi} \int \mathcal{W}_{\Xi}(X,\mu,\nu) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X-\mu q-\nu p)} \,\mathrm{d}X \,\mathrm{d}\mu \,\mathrm{d}\nu,$$
(59)

where

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Xi} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\Xi}(-1)}, \quad \widetilde{\Xi}(-1) = \int \Xi(z) e^{iz} dz.$$
 (60)

In the invariant form, the state reconstruction is achieved by

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{\Xi}}{2\pi} \int \mathcal{W}_{\Xi}(X,\mu,\nu) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(X\hat{\mathbb{I}}-\mu\hat{q}-\nu\hat{p})} \,\mathrm{d}X \,\mathrm{d}\mu \,\mathrm{d}\nu.$$

The quantizer operator in thick symplectic tomography is

$$\hat{D}(X,\mu,\nu) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{\Xi}}{2\pi} e^{i(X\hat{\mathbb{1}}-\mu\hat{q}-\nu\hat{p})}.$$
(61)

Let us now consider a particular example of a thick tomogram to illustrate the potentialities of the new method. If the weight function is a Gaussian function

$$\Xi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma^2}},$$
 (62)

which tends to the delta distribution in the $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ limit,

$$\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \Xi(z) = \delta(z), \tag{63}$$

the thick tomogram of the coherent states $|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$ reads

$$\mathcal{W}^{\alpha}_{\sigma}(X,\mu,\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(\mu^2 + \nu^2 + 2\sigma^2)}} e^{-\frac{(X-\bar{X})^2}{\mu^2 + \nu^2 + 2\sigma^2}},$$
 (64)

where

$$\bar{X} = \sqrt{2}\,\mu\,\operatorname{Re}\alpha + \sqrt{2}\,\nu\,\operatorname{Im}\alpha. \tag{65}$$

For the vacuum state $|0\rangle\langle 0|$, the tomogram reads

$$\mathcal{W}_{\sigma}^{\text{vac}}(X,\mu,\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(\mu^2 + \nu^2 + 2\sigma^2)}} e^{-\frac{X^2}{\mu^2 + \nu^2 + 2\sigma^2}}.$$
 (66)

The quantizer reads

$$\hat{D}_{\sigma}(X,\mu,\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{2} + i(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \mu\hat{q} - \nu\hat{p})}$$
(67)

and the dequantizer is given by

$$\hat{U}_{\sigma}(X,\mu,\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(X\hat{1}-\mu\hat{q}-\nu\hat{p})^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$
(68)

One interesting property that is preserved by the smoothing of the tomogram is that the marginals $\mathcal{W}_{\Xi}(X, \mu, \nu)$ are also probability distributions. In the limit $\sigma \to 0$, $\Xi(z) \to \delta(z)$, $\widetilde{\Xi}(-1) = 1, \mathcal{N}_{\Xi} = 1.$

In the case of multimode systems with quadratic Hamiltonians, the dequantizer and the quantizer of thick tomography with a Gaussian weight function Ξ become

$$\hat{U}_{\sigma}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) = \Xi(X\mathbb{I} - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\vec{Q}} - \vec{r}) \cdot B(\hat{\vec{Q}} - \vec{r}))$$
 (69)

and

$$\hat{D}_{\sigma}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) = \frac{|\det B|}{(2\pi)^{N+1}\tilde{\Xi}(-1)} e^{i(X\mathbb{I} - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\vec{Q}} - \vec{r}) \cdot B(\hat{\vec{Q}} - \vec{r}))}, \quad (70)$$

respectively. Thus, the quantum thick tomogram of a multimode state with weight function Ξ reads

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Xi}(X,\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{p}\,\mathrm{d}\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^N} W(\vec{q},\vec{p}) \\ \times \Xi(X - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{Q} - \vec{r}) \cdot B(\vec{Q} - \vec{r})), \quad (71)$$

in terms of the Wigner functions of the state, and the inverse formula

$$W(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) = \frac{|\det B|}{(2\pi)^{N+1} \widetilde{\Xi}(-1)} \int dX \, d\vec{\mu} \, d\vec{\nu} \, \mathcal{W}_{\Xi}(X, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) \\ \times e^{i(X - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{Q} - \vec{r}) \cdot B(\vec{Q} - \vec{r}))}$$
(72)

permits us to reconstruct the Wigner function from its tomograms.

M Asorey et al

The proof of the inverse formula follows the same steps of the case of linear symplectic tomograms. Indeed, if we plug (71) into the right-hand side of (72), we obtain

~

. . -

=

 $a \rightarrow i \rightarrow i \rightarrow i$

$$\frac{|\det B|}{(2\pi)^{N+1}\widetilde{\Xi}(-1)} \int dX \, d\vec{\mu} \, d\vec{\nu} \int \frac{dp' \, dq'}{(2\pi)^N} W(\vec{q}', \vec{p}') \\ \times \Xi \left(X - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{Q}' - \vec{r}) \cdot B(\vec{Q}' - \vec{r}) \right) e^{i(X - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{Q} - \vec{r}) \cdot B(\vec{Q} - \vec{r}))} \\ = \frac{|\det B|}{(2\pi)^{N+1} \widetilde{\Xi}(-1)} \int dX \, dY \, d\vec{\mu} \, d\vec{\nu} \int \frac{d\vec{p}' \, d\vec{q}'}{(2\pi)^N} W(\vec{q}', \vec{p}') \\ \times \delta(Y - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{Q}' - \vec{r}) \cdot B(\vec{Q}' - \vec{r})) \Xi ((X - Y)\mathbb{I}) \\ \times e^{i(Y - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{Q} - \vec{r}) \cdot B(\vec{Q} - \vec{r}))} e^{i(X - Y)} \\ = \frac{|\det B|}{2\pi \widetilde{\Xi}(-1)} \int dZ \, d\vec{p}' \, d\vec{q}' \, W(\vec{q}', \vec{p}') \int \frac{d\vec{\mu} \, d\vec{\nu}}{(2\pi)^N} \Xi (Z) \, e^{iZ} \\ \times e^{i(\vec{r} \cdot B(\vec{Q} - \vec{Q}') - \frac{1}{2}\vec{Q} \cdot B\vec{Q} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{Q}' \cdot B\vec{Q}')}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\widetilde{\Xi}(-1)} W(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}Z}{2\pi} \Xi(Z) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}Z} = W(\vec{q}, \vec{p}). \tag{73}$$

Let us consider the example of a quadratic thick tomogram governed by a Hamiltonian with

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_2, & 0\\ 0, & \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(74)

and the Gaussian weight function Ξ_{σ} for a system with one degree of freedom. In that case, one might think that the tomogram is centered on a quadratic curve

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(p-\nu)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(q-\mu)^2.$$
 (75)

The tomogram is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{\sigma}(X,\mu,\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int dp \, dq \, W(q,p)$$
$$\times e^{-\frac{\left(X - \frac{1}{2}(p-\nu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(q-\mu)^2\right)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(76)

in terms of the Wigner function, and the inverse transform

$$W(q, p) = \frac{e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{2\pi} \int dX \, d\mu \, d\nu \, \mathcal{W}_{\sigma}(X, \mu, \nu) \\ \times e^{i(X - \frac{1}{2}(p - \nu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(q - \mu)^2)}$$
(77)

allows a reconstruction of the Wigner function from its thick quadratic tomograms.

7. Comparison with the thick Radon transform

A comparison with the quantum Radon transform (1) is useful and clarifies the merits of the approach taken in this paper. Let us consider the thick version of (1), with a window function Ξ :

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Xi}(X,\varphi) = \operatorname{Tr} \hat{\rho} \Xi (X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{q}\cos\varphi - \hat{p}\sin\varphi).$$
(78)

Now, in general, relation (5) (a consequence of the positive homogeneity of degree -1 of the Dirac distribution) no longer holds for \mathcal{R}_{Ξ} and \mathcal{W}_{Ξ} . As a consequence, one has to deconvolve \mathcal{R}_{Ξ} —whenever this is possible—in order to obtain $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\rho}}$ and thus $\hat{\rho}$. Since

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Xi}(X,\varphi) = \int \Xi(X-Y)\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\rho}}(Y,\varphi) \,\mathrm{d}Y,\tag{79}$$

one obtains

$$\int dX \,\mathcal{R}_{\Xi}(X,\varphi) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}kX} = \tilde{\Xi}(k) \int dX \,\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\rho}}(X,\varphi) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}kX}.$$
 (80)

Equation (80) can be inverted for any $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\rho}}$ if and only if $\tilde{\Xi}(k) \neq 0$ for all k. In this case, from (80) and (9) one obtains

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dX \int_0^\infty dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\Xi}(X,\varphi)}{\tilde{\Xi}(-r)} \times r \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}r(X\hat{\mathbb{I}} - \hat{q}\cos\varphi - \hat{p}\sin\varphi)}. \tag{81}$$

Therefore, in contrast with the thick symplectic transform, the thick Radon transform cannot be inverted for arbitrary window functions. Moreover, even when the inversion is possible, one needs to have a complete knowledge of the window function in order to deconvolve the thick homodyne tomogram and perform its inversion (81).

8. Conclusions

There are many possible interesting applications of the quantum tomograms introduced in this paper by using quadrics and window functions in phase space. The measurements of variables that are quadratic forms of positions and momenta are equivalent to measuring the energy of vibrations with changing parameters, such as minima of potential energy, elastic forces and so on. This procedure can be used to measure the state $\hat{\rho}$ of trapped ions by varying the parameters of the trapping potential.

Homodyne detection, by using nonlinear crystals as beam-splitters, also creates quadratic forms of the photon quadrature components which, in principle, can be measured by varying the parameters of the quadratic forms. Thus, tomography with the generalized quantized version of the Radon transform provides new possibilities to measure quantum states.

From a formal viewpoint, the new tomographic maps and their inverse can be formulated within the framework of the star-product scheme, with quantizers and dequantizers for multidimensional systems. Some of the constructions involve generic quadratic forms of the different position and momentum operators. The inhomogeneous symplectic group of the corresponding multidimensional phase spaces and its subgroups play a significant role in the formalism. The new quantum tomograms can be considered as the quantum version of the generalized classical tomograms introduced in our previous work [32] and involve quadratic surfaces on phase spaces. The correspondence between both approaches is established by means of the Weyl map.

In quantum optics, the new *nonlinear* Radon transforms can be easily extended to the quantum domain by using

the Weyl–Wigner map. The results of this paper show that the reconstruction of the Wigner function using optical or symplectic tomography based on the straight-line Radon transform can be extended to situations in which the marginals in phase space are measured for curved hyperbolas or ellipses. In particular, parabolic tomography could be implemented with the recently observed accelerated Airy beams [38].

Finally, the other generalization introduced in this paper, named 'thick' quantum tomography, entails that the effect of a non-singular window function not only smoothes the tomograms, but in fact matches better all practical applications, where thick tomograms arise in a natural way. The striking fact we pointed out in this work is that the reconstruction procedure in this case is almost independent of the window functions, with an enormous advantage due to the fact that for most experimental devices the window function is not completely known.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by a cooperation grant INFN-MICINN. VIM was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under project no. 11-02-00456. MA was also partially supported by the Spanish MICINN grant no. FPA2009-09638 and DGIID-DGA (grant no. 2009-2010-2011-E24/2).

References

- Pauli W 1933 Handbuch der Physik vol XXIV, part 1, ed H Geiger and K Scheel (Berlin: Springer) p 98 (reprinted in 1958 in Encyclopedia of Physics vol V, part 1 ed S Flügge (Berlin: Springer) p 17)
- [2] Pauli W 1980 General Principles of Quantum Mechanics (Bombay: Allied Publishers) p 17
- [3] Reichenbach H 1944 Philosophic Foundations of Quantum Mechanics (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press)
- [4] Man'ko V I, Marmo G, Simoni A, Stern A and Ventriglia F 2005 Phys. Lett. A 343 251
- [5] Radon J 1917 Ber. Sachsische Akad. Wiss. 69 262
- [6] Wigner E P 1932 Phys. Rev. 40 749
- [7] Bertrand J and Bertrand P 1987 Found. Phys. 17 397
- [8] Vogel K and Risken H 1989 Phys. Rev. A 40 2847
- [9] Smithey D T, Beck M, Raymer M G and Faridani A 1993 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 70 1244
- [10] Mlynek J 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 2933
- [11] Kurtsiefer C, Pfau T and Mlynek J 1997 Nature 386 150
- [12] Paris M G A and Řeháček J (ed) 2004 Quantum State Estimation (Lecture Notes in Physics vol 649) (Berlin: Springer)
- [13] Lvovsky A I and Raymer M G 2009 Rev. Mod. Phys. 81 299
- [14] Hradil Z 1997 Phys. Rev. A 55 R1561
- [15] Zambra G, Andreoni A, Bondani M, Gramegna M, Genovese M, Brida G, Rossi A and Paris M G A 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95 063602
- [16] Badurek G et al 2006 Phys. Rev. A 73 032110
- [17] Banáš P, Řeháček J and Hradil Z 2006 Phys. Rev. A 74 014101
- [18] Hradil Z, Mogilevtsev D and Řeháček J 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 230401
- [19] Kiesel T, Vogel W, Parigi V, Zavatta A and Bellini M 2008 Phys. Rev. A 78 021804
- [20] D'Auria V, Fornaro S, Porzio A, Solimeno S, Olivares S and Paris M G A 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 020502

- [21] Zavatta A, Parigi V, Kim M S, Jeong H and Bellini M 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 140406
- [22] Allevi A, Andreoni A, Bondani M, Brida G, Genovese M, Gramegna M, Olivares S, Paris M G A, Traina P and Zambra G 2009 Phys. Rev. A 80 022114
- [23] Nielsen M A and Chuang I L 2000 Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [24] Vedral V 2006 Introduction to Quantum Information Science (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- [25] Mihlin S G 1965 Multi-Dimensional Singular Integrals and Integral Equations (New York: Pergamon)
- [26] Gel'fand I M and Shilov G E 1966 Generalized Functions: Properties and Operations vol 5 (New York: Academic)
- [27] Helgason S 1973 Ann. Math. 98 451
 Helgason S 1984 Groups and Geometric Analysis (Orlando, FL: Academic)
 - Helgason S 1980 *The Radon Transform* (Boston, MA: Birkhauser)

- [28] Mancini S, Man'ko V I and Tombesi P 1995 Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 7 615
- [29] Man'ko O V and Man'ko V I 1997 J. Russ. Laser Res. 18 407
- [30] Asorey M, Facchi P, Man'ko V I, Marmo G, Pascazio S and Sudarshan E C G 2007 Phys. Rev. A 76 012117
- [31] Man'ko O V, Man'ko V I and Marmo G 2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35** 699
- [32] Asorey M, Facchi P, Man'ko V I, Marmo G, Pascazio S and Sudarshan E C G 2008 Phys. Rev. A 77 042115
- [33] Arkhipov A S and Man'ko V I 2005 Phys. Rev. A 71 012101
- [34] Man'ko V I, Marmo G, Simoni A, Stern A and Sudarshan E C G 2006 Phys. Lett. A 351 1
- [35] Facchi P, Ligabò M and Pascazio S 2010 J. Mod. Opt. 57 239
- [36] Asorey M, Facchi P, Florio G, Man'ko V I, Marmo G, Pascazio S and Sudarshan E C G 2011 Phys. Lett. A 375 861
- [37] Ibort A, Man'ko V I, Marmo G, Simoni A and Ventriglia F 2010 Phys. Lett. A 374 2614
- [38] Siviloglou G A, Broky J, Dogariu A and Christodoulides D N 2007 Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 213901